arXiv:1401.4754v1 [math.OC] 19 Jan 2014

Linear Quadratic Stochastic Differential Games: Open-Loop and Closed-Loop Saddle Points*

Jingrui Sun†, and Jiongmin Yong ‡

January 21, 2014

Abstract: In this paper, we consider a linear quadratic stochastic two-person zero-sum differential game. The controls for both players are allowed to appear in both drift and diffusion of the state equation. The weighting matrices in the performance functional are not assumed to be definite/non-singular. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a closed-loop saddle point is established in terms of the solvability of a Riccati differential equation with *certain regularity*. It is possible that the closed-loop saddle point fails to exist, and at the same time, the corresponding Riccati equation admits a solution (which does not have needed regularity). Also, we will indicate that the solution of the Riccati equation may be non-unique.

Keywords: stochastic differential equation, linear quadratic differential game, two-person, zero-sum, saddle point, Riccati differential equation, closed-loop, open-loop.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. 93E20, 91A23, 49N70.

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a given complete filtered probability space along with a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion $W = \{W(t), \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leqslant t < \infty\}$, where $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ is the natural filtration of W augmented by all the $\mathbb{P}$-null sets in $\mathcal{F}$ [13, 22]. Consider the following controlled linear stochastic differential equation on $[t, T]$:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = \big[A(s)X(s) + B_1(s)u_1(s) + B_2(s)u_2(s) + b(s)\big]ds \\ \qquad\qquad +\big[C(s)X(s) + D_1(s)u_1(s) + D_2(s)u_2(s) + \sigma(s)\big]dW(s), \quad s \in [t, T], \\ X(t) = x. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

In the above, $X(\cdot)$ is called the *state process* taking values in the n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the *initial state* x at the initial time t; for $i = 1, 2$, $u_i(\cdot)$ is called the *control process* of Player i taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{m_i}$. We assume that $A(\cdot), B_1(\cdot), B_2(\cdot), C(\cdot), D_1(\cdot), D_2(\cdot)$ are deterministic matrix-valued functions of proper dimensions, and $b(\cdot)$, $\sigma(\cdot)$ are vector-valued $\mathbb{F}$-adapted processes. For any $t \in [0, T)$, we define

$$\mathcal{U}_i[t, T] = \Big\{u_i : [t, T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{m_i} \;\Big|\; u_i(\cdot) \text{ is } \mathbb{F}\text{-adapted}, \mathbb{E}\int_t^T |u_i(s)|^2 ds < \infty\Big\}, \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

*This work is supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-1007514, and China Scholarship Council.

†School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P. R. China; Email: sjr@mail.ustc.edu.cn.

‡Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA; Email: jiongmin.yong@ucf.edu.

Any element $u_i(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_i[t,T]$ is called an *admissible control* of Player i on $[t,T]$. Under some mild conditions on the coefficients, for any *initial pair* $(t,x) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and control pair $(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{U}_1[t,T] \times \mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$, state equation (1.1) admits a unique solution $X(\cdot) \equiv X(\cdot\,; t, x, u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))$. To measure the performance of the controls $u_1(\cdot)$ and $u_2(\cdot)$, we introduce the following functional:

$$\begin{aligned} J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),u_2(\cdot)) \triangleq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ & \langle GX(T), X(T) \rangle + 2\langle g, X(T) \rangle \\ & + \int_t^T \Big[\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} Q(s) & S_1(s)^T & S_2(s)^T \\ S_1(s) & R_{11}(s) & R_{12}(s) \\ S_2(s) & R_{21}(s) & R_{22}(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ u_1(s) \\ u_2(s) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ u_1(s) \\ u_2(s) \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle + 2\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} q(s) \\ \rho_1(s) \\ \rho_2(s) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ u_1(s) \\ u_2(s) \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle \Big] ds \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $Q(\cdot)$, $S_1(\cdot)$, $S_2(\cdot)$, $R_{11}(\cdot)$, $R_{12}(\cdot)$, $R_{21}(\cdot)$, $R_{22}(\cdot)$ are deterministic matrix-valued functions of proper dimensions with $Q(\cdot)^T = Q(\cdot)$, $R_{ii}(\cdot)^T = R_{ii}(\cdot)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $R_{12}(\cdot)^T = R_{21}(\cdot)$, and G is a symmetric matrix; $q(\cdot)$, $\rho_1(\cdot)$, $\rho_2(\cdot)$ are allowed to be vector-valued $\mathbb{F}$-adapted processes, and g is allowed to be an $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable random variable. We assume that (1.2) is a cost functional for Player 1, and a payoff functional for Player 2. Therefore, Player 1 wishes to minimize (1.2) by selecting a control process $u_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_1[t,T]$, while Player 2 wishes to maximize (1.2) by selecting a control process $u_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$. The above described problem is referred to as a linear quadratic (LQ, for short) stochastic *two-person zero-sum differential game*, denoted by Problem (SG). The study of LQ deterministic two-person zero-sum differential games, denoted by Problem (DG), which is a special case of Problem (SG) where the stochastic part is absent, can be traced back to the work of Ho–Bryson–Baron [10] in 1965. In 1970, Schmitendorf studied both open-loop and closed-loop strategies for Problem (DG) ([20]). Among other things, it was shown that the existence of a closed-loop saddle point may not imply that of an open-loop saddle point. In 1979, Bernhard carefully investigated Problem (DG) from closed-loop point of view ([5]); see also the book by Basar and Bernhard [2] in this aspect. In 2005, Zhang [23] proved that for a Problem (DG), the existence of the open-loop value is equivalent to the finiteness of the corresponding open-loop lower and upper values, which is also equivalent to the existence of an open-loop saddle point. Along this line, there were a couple of follow-up works [8, 9] appeared afterwards. In 2006, Mou–Yong studied a Problem (SG) from an open-loop point of view by means of Hilbert space method ([17]). The main purpose of this paper is to study Problem (SG) from both open-loop and closed-loop point of view.

We know that when $m_2 = 0$, Problem (SG) is reduced to a linear quadratic stochastic optimal control problem, denoted by Problem (SLQ). Thus, Problem (SLQ) can be regarded as a special case of Problem (SG). See [6, 1, 7, 11, 21, 16, 19] for some relevant results on Problem (SLQ). Further, linear quadratic deterministic optimal control problem, denoted by Problem (DLQ), can be regarded as a special case of Problem (SLQ) and Problem (DG). The history of Problem (DLQ) can further be traced back to the work of Bellman–Glicksberg–Gross ([3]) in 1958, Kalman ([12]), and Letov ([14]) in 1960. See [22] for some historic remarks on Problems (DLQ) and (SLQ).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 will collect some preliminary results. Among other things, we will state some results for Problem (SLQ), which is a special case of our main results presented in later sections. In Section 3, we pose our differential game problem, carefully explaining the open-loop and closed-loop saddle points. Section 4 is devoted to the study of open-loop saddle points by variational method. In Section 5, we characterize closed-loop saddle points by means of Riccati equation. Some examples are presented in Section 6, and several concluding remarks are collected in Section 7.

2 Preliminaries

We recall that $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the n-dimensional Euclidean space, $\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}$ is the space of all $(n\times m)$ matrices, endowed with the inner product $(M,N)\mapsto \operatorname{tr}[M^TN]$, and $\mathbb{S}^n\subseteq\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ is the set of all $(n\times n)$ symmetric matrices. We recall that for any $M\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$, there exists a unique matrix $M^\dagger\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}$, called the (Moore-Penrose) *pseudo-inverse* of M, satisfying the following ([18]):

$$MM^\dagger M=M,\quad M^\dagger MM^\dagger=M^\dagger,\quad (MM^\dagger)^T=MM^\dagger,\quad (M^\dagger M)^T=M^\dagger M.$$

In addition, if $M=M^T\in\mathbb{S}^n$, then

$$M^\dagger=(M^\dagger)^T,\quad MM^\dagger=M^\dagger M;\qquad\text{and}\qquad M\geqslant 0\iff M^\dagger\geqslant 0.$$

Next, let $T>0$ be a fixed time horizon. For any $t\in[0,T)$ and Euclidean space $\mathbb{H}$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
&C([t,T];\mathbb{H})=\Big\{\varphi:[t,T]\to\mathbb{H}\;\Big|\;\varphi(\cdot)\text{ is continuous }\Big\},\\
&L^p(t,T;\mathbb{H})=\Big\{\varphi:[t,T]\to\mathbb{H}\;\Big|\;\int_t^T|\varphi(s)|^p ds<\infty\Big\},\quad 1\leqslant p<\infty,\\
&L^\infty(t,T;\mathbb{H})=\Big\{\varphi:[t,T]\to\mathbb{H}\;\Big|\;\operatorname*{esssup}_{s\in[t,T]}|\varphi(s)|<\infty\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we recall that $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{F},\mathbb{P})$ is a complete filtered probability space and $W(\cdot)$ is a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion with $\mathbb{F}=\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ being its natural filtration augmented by all the $\mathbb{P}$-null sets in $\mathcal{F}$. We denote

$$\begin{aligned}
&L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega;\mathbb{H})=\Big\{\xi:\Omega\to\mathbb{H}\;\Big|\;\varphi(\cdot)\text{ is }\mathcal{F}_T\text{-measurable, }\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2<\infty\Big\},\\
&L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(t,T;\mathbb{H})=\Big\{\varphi:[t,T]\times\Omega\to\mathbb{H}\;\Big|\;\varphi(\cdot)\text{ is }\mathbb{F}\text{-adapted, }\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|\varphi(s)|^2ds<\infty\Big\},\\
&L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega;C([t,T];\mathbb{H}))=\Big\{\varphi:[t,T]\times\Omega\to\mathbb{H}\;\Big|\;\varphi(\cdot)\text{ is }\mathbb{F}\text{-adapted, continuous, }\mathbb{E}\big(\sup_{t\leqslant s\leqslant T}|\varphi(s)|^2\big)<\infty\Big\},\\
&L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega;L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n))=\Big\{\varphi:[0,T]\times\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^n\;\;\Big|\;\;\varphi(\cdot)\text{ is }\mathbb{F}\text{-adapted, }\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^T|\varphi(t)|dt\Big)^2<\infty\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this section, we look at Problem (SLQ). We write the corresponding state equation as follows:

$$\begin{cases}dX(s)=\big[A(s)X(s)+B(s)u(s)+b(s)\big]ds+\big[C(s)X(s)+D(s)u(s)+\sigma(s)\big]dW(s),\\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad s\in[t,T],\\ X(t)=x.\end{cases}\tag{2.1}$$

The cost functional takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}J(t,x;u(\cdot))\triangleq\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{&\langle GX(T),X(T)\rangle+2\langle g,X(T)\rangle\\&+\int_t^T\Big[\Big\langle\begin{pmatrix}Q(s)&S(s)^T\\S(s)&R(s)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}X(s)\\u(s)\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}X(s)\\u(s)\end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle+2\Big\langle\begin{pmatrix}q(s)\\\rho(s)\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}X(s)\\u(s)\end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle\Big]ds\Big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

We adopt the following assumptions.

(S1) The coefficients of the state equation satisfy the following:

$$\begin{cases} A(\cdot)\in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}), \quad B(\cdot)\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}), \quad b(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega;L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)),\\ C(\cdot)\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}), \quad D(\cdot)\in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}), \quad \sigma(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

(S2) The weighting coefficients in the cost functional satisfy the following:

$$\begin{cases} Q(\cdot)\in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{S}^n), \quad S(\cdot)\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}), \quad R(\cdot)\in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{S}^m),\\ q(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega;L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \rho(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m), \quad G\in\mathbb{S}^n, \quad g\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

We note that under (S1), for any $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and $u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]\equiv L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(t,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$, the state equation (2.1) admits a unique solution $X(\cdot)\equiv X(\cdot\,;t,x,u(\cdot))$. Further, under (S2), the cost functional (2.2) is well-defined for every $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and $u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]$. Therefore, the following problem is meaningful.

Problem (SLQ). For any given initial pair $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, find a $\bar u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]$ such that

$$V(t,x)\triangleq J(t,x;\bar u(\cdot))=\inf_{u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]}J(t,x;u(\cdot))\leqslant J(t,x;u(\cdot)),\qquad\forall u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]. \tag{2.3}$$

Any $\bar u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]$ satisfying (2.3) is called an *open-loop optimal control* of Problem (SLQ) for (t,x), the corresponding $\bar X(\cdot)\equiv X(\cdot\,;t,x,\bar u(\cdot))$ is called an *open-loop optimal state process* and $(\bar X(\cdot),\bar u(\cdot))$ is called an *open-loop optimal pair*. The function $V(\cdot\,,\cdot)$ is called the *value function* of Problem (SLQ).

The following result is concerned with open-loop optimal control of Problem (SLQ) for a given initial pair.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* (S1)–(S2) *hold. For a given initial pair* $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, *a state-control pair* $(\bar X(\cdot),\bar u(\cdot))$ *is an open-loop optimal pair of Problem* (SLQ) *if and only if the following stationarity condition holds:*

$$B(s)^T\bar Y(s)+D(s)^T\bar Z(s)+S(s)\bar X(s)+R(s)\bar u(s)+\rho(s)=0,\qquad\text{a.e. } s\in[t,T],\ \text{a.s.} \tag{2.4}$$

where $(\bar Y(\cdot),\bar Z(\cdot))$ *is the adapted solution to the following backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE, for short):*

$$\begin{cases} d\bar Y(s)=-\big[A(s)^T\bar Y(s)+C(s)^T\bar Z(s)+Q(s)\bar X(s)+S(s)^T\bar u(s)+q(s)\big]+\bar Z(s)dW(s),\\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad s\in[t,T],\\ \bar Y(T)=G\bar X(T)+g, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

and the following convexity condition holds: For any $u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big\{\langle GX_0(T),X_0(T)\rangle+\int_t^T\big[\langle Q(s)X_0(s),X_0(s)\rangle+2\langle S(s)X_0(s),u(s)\rangle+\langle R(s)u(s),u(s)\rangle\big]ds\Big\}\geqslant 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $X_0(\cdot)$ *is the solution to the following:*

$$\begin{cases} dX_0(s)=\big[A(s)X_0(s)+B(s)u(s)\big]ds+\big[C(s)X_0(s)+D(s)u(s)\big]dW(s),\qquad s\in[t,T],\\ X_0(t)=0. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Suppose $(\bar X(\cdot),\bar u(\cdot))$ is a state-control pair corresponding to the given initial pair $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$. For any $u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]$ and $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{R}$, let $X^\varepsilon(\cdot)=X(\cdot\,;t,x,\bar u(\cdot)+\varepsilon u(\cdot))$. Then

$$\begin{cases} dX^\varepsilon(s)=\big\{A(s)X^\varepsilon(s)+B(s)\big[\bar u(s)+\varepsilon u(s)\big]+b(s)\big\}ds\\ \qquad\qquad+\big\{C(s)X^\varepsilon(s)+D(s)\big[\bar u(s)+\varepsilon u(s)\big]+\sigma(s)\big\}dW(s),\qquad s\in[t,T],\\ X^\varepsilon(t)=x. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $X_0(\cdot) \equiv \frac{X^\varepsilon(\cdot)-\bar{X}(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}$ is independent of ε and satisfies (2.7). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&J(t,x;\bar{u}(\cdot)+\varepsilon u(\cdot)) - J(t,x;\bar{u}(\cdot)) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, G\big[2\bar{X}(T)+\varepsilon X_0(T)\big], X_0(T)\,\rangle + 2\,\langle\, g, X_0(T)\,\rangle \\
&\qquad + \int_t^T \Big[\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} Q(s) & S(s)^T \\ S(s) & R(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2\bar{X}(s)+\varepsilon X_0(s) \\ 2\bar{u}(s)+\varepsilon u(s) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X_0(s) \\ u(s) \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle + 2\,\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} q(s) \\ \rho(s) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X_0(s) \\ u(s) \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \varepsilon\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, G\bar{X}(T), X_0(T)\,\rangle + \langle\, g, X_0(T)\,\rangle \\
&\qquad + \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, Q\bar{X}, X_0\,\rangle + \langle\, S\bar{X}, u\,\rangle + \langle\, SX_0, \bar{u}\,\rangle + \langle\, R\bar{u}, u\,\rangle + \langle\, q, X_0\,\rangle + \langle\, \rho, u\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&\qquad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, GX_0(T), X_0(T)\,\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, Q(s)X_0(s), X_0(s)\,\rangle + 2\,\langle\, S(s)X_0(s), u(s)\,\rangle \\
&\qquad\qquad + \langle\, R(s)u(s), u(s)\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $(\bar{Y}(\cdot), \bar{Z}(\cdot))$ be the adapted solution to the BSDE (2.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, G\bar{X}(T)+g, X_0(T)\,\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, Q\bar{X}+S^T\bar{u}+q, X_0\,\rangle + \langle\, S\bar{X}+R\bar{u}+\rho, u\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\Big\{ \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, -(A^T\bar{Y}+C^T\bar{Z}+Q\bar{X}+S^T\bar{u}+q), X_0\,\rangle + \langle\, \bar{Y}, AX_0+Bu\,\rangle + \langle\, \bar{Z}, CX_0+Du\,\rangle \\
&\qquad\qquad + \langle\, Q\bar{X}+S^T\bar{u}+q, X_0\,\rangle + \langle\, S\bar{X}+R\bar{u}+\rho, u\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle\, B^T\bar{Y}+D^T\bar{Z}+S\bar{X}+R\bar{u}+\rho, u\,\rangle\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
J(t,x;\bar{u}(\cdot)+\varepsilon u(\cdot)) &= J(t,x;\bar{u}(\cdot)) + \varepsilon\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \int_t^T \langle\, B^T\bar{Y}+D^T\bar{Z}+S\bar{X}+R\bar{u}+\rho, u\,\rangle\, ds \Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, GX_0(T), X_0(T)\,\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, Q(s)X_0(s), X_0(s)\,\rangle + 2\,\langle\, S(s)X_0(s), u(s)\,\rangle \\
&\qquad\qquad + \langle\, R(s)u(s), u(s)\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\bar{X}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ is an open-loop optimal control of Problem (SLQ) for (t,x) if and only if (2.4) and (2.6) hold. □

Next, for any $t \in [0,T)$, take $\Theta(\cdot) \in L^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}) \equiv \mathscr{Q}[t,T]$, and $v(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[t,T]$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = \big\{\big[A(s)+B(s)\Theta(s)\big]X(s)+B(s)v(s)+b(s)\big\}ds \\ \qquad\qquad + \big\{\big[C(s)+D(s)\Theta(s)\big]X(s)+D(s)v(s)+\sigma(s)\big\}dW(s), \quad s\in[t,T], \\ X(t) = x, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

which admits a unique solution $X(\cdot) \equiv X(\cdot\,;t,x,\Theta(\cdot),v(\cdot))$, depending on $\Theta(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$. The above is called a *closed-loop system* of the original state equation (2.1) under *closed-loop strategy* $(\Theta(\cdot), v(\cdot))$. We point out

that $(\Theta(\cdot), v(\cdot))$ is independent of the initial state x. With the above solution $X(\cdot)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} J(t,x;\Theta(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ & \langle GX(T), X(T)\rangle + 2\langle g, X(T)\rangle \\ & + \int_t^T \Big[\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} Q(s) & S(s)^T \\ S(s) & R(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ \Theta(s)X(s)+v(s) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ \Theta(s)+v(s) \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle \\ & + 2\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} q(s) \\ \rho(s) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ \Theta(s)+v(s) \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle \Big] ds \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.2. A pair $(\bar\Theta(\cdot), \bar v(\cdot)) \in \mathscr{Q}[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}[t,T]$ is called a *closed-loop optimal strategy* of Problem (SLQ) on $[t,T]$ if

$$J(t,x;\bar\Theta(\cdot)\bar X(\cdot)+\bar v(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t,x;u(\cdot)), \qquad \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^n, \quad u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T], \tag{2.9}$$

where $\bar X(\cdot) = X(\cdot\,;t,x,\bar\Theta(\cdot),\bar v(\cdot))$.

Remark 2.3. In the above, both $\bar\Theta(\cdot)$ and $\bar v(\cdot)$ are required to be independent of the initial state $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Also, for fixed initial pair $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, (2.9) implies that the outcome

$$\bar u(\cdot) \equiv \bar\Theta(\cdot)\bar X(\cdot)+\bar v(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[t,T]$$

of the closed-loop optimal strategy $(\bar\Theta(\cdot),\bar v(\cdot))$ is an open-loop optimal control of Problem (SLQ) for (t,x). Therefore, for Problem (SLQ), the existence of closed-loop optimal strategy on $[t,T]$ implies the existence of open-loop optimal control for initial pair (t,x) for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$.

For closed-loop optimal strategies, we have the following characterization.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* (S1)–(S2) *hold. Then Problem* (SLQ) *admits a closed-loop optimal strategy if and only if the following Riccati equation admits a solution* $P(\cdot)\in C([t,T];\mathbb{S}^n)$*:*

$$\begin{cases} \dot P + PA + A^TP + C^TPC + Q \\ \qquad -(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^TP+D^TPC+S) = 0, \qquad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T], \\ \mathcal{R}\big(B^TP+D^TPC+S\big) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\big(R+D^TPD\big), \qquad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T], \\ R+D^TPD \geqslant 0, \qquad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T], \\ P(T) = G, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

such that

$$\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]^\dagger\big[B(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)C(\cdot)+S(\cdot)\big] \in L^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}), \tag{2.11}$$

and the adapted solution $(\eta(\cdot),\zeta(\cdot))$ *of the following BSDE:*

$$\begin{cases} d\eta = -\Big\{\big[A^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger B^T\big]\eta \\ \qquad\quad +\big[C^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger D^T\big]\zeta \\ \qquad\quad +\big[C^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger D^T\big]P\sigma \\ \qquad\quad -(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger\rho + Pb + q\Big\}ds + \zeta dW(s), \\ B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho \in \mathcal{R}(R+D^TPD), \quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T], \text{ a.s.} \\ \eta(T) = g, \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

satisfies

$$\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]^\dagger\big[B(\cdot)^T\eta(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^T\zeta(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)\sigma(\cdot)+\rho(\cdot)\big]\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(t,T;\mathbb{R}^m). \tag{2.13}$$

In this case, any closed-loop optimal strategy $(\bar\Theta(\cdot),\bar v(\cdot))$ *of Problem* (SLQ) *admits the following representation:*

$$\begin{cases}\bar\Theta=-(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^TP+D^TPC+S)+\big[I-(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(R+D^TPD)\big]\theta,\\ \bar v=-(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho)+\big[I-(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(R+D^TPD)\big]\nu,\end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

for some $\theta(\cdot)\in L^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times n})$ *and* $\nu(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(t,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$. *Further, the value function admits the following representation:*

$$\begin{aligned}V(t,x)&\equiv\inf_{u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[t,T]}J(t,x;u(\cdot))\\&=\frac12\,\mathbb{E}\Big\{\langle P(t)x,x\rangle+2\langle\eta(t),x\rangle+\int_t^T\big[\langle P\sigma,\sigma\rangle+2\langle\eta,b\rangle+2\langle\zeta,\sigma\rangle\\&\qquad-\langle(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho),B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho\rangle\big]ds\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

We prefer not to give a proof of the above result here since it will be a special case of a similar result for Problem (SG), which will be presented below.

3 Stochastic Differential Games

We now return to our Problem (SG). Recall the sets $\mathcal{U}_i[t,T]=L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(t,T;\mathbb{R}^{m_i})$ of all open-loop controls of Player i $(i=1,2)$. For notational simplicity, we let $m=m_1+m_2$ and denote

$$\begin{aligned}&B(\cdot)=(B_1(\cdot),B_2(\cdot)),\quad D(\cdot)=(D_1(\cdot),D_2(\cdot)),\\&S(\cdot)=\begin{pmatrix}S_1(\cdot)\\S_2(\cdot)\end{pmatrix},\quad R(\cdot)=\begin{pmatrix}R_{11}(\cdot)&R_{12}(\cdot)\\R_{21}(\cdot)&R_{22}(\cdot)\end{pmatrix}\equiv\begin{pmatrix}R_1(\cdot)\\R_2(\cdot)\end{pmatrix},\quad\rho(\cdot)=\begin{pmatrix}\rho_1(\cdot)\\\rho_2(\cdot)\end{pmatrix},\quad u(\cdot)=\begin{pmatrix}u_1(\cdot)\\u_2(\cdot)\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Naturally, we identify $\mathcal{U}[t,T]=\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$. With such notations, the state equation becomes

$$\begin{cases}dX(s)=\big[A(s)X(s)+B(s)u(s)+b(s)\big]ds+\big[C(s)X(s)+D(s)u(s)+\sigma(s)\big]dW(s),\quad s\in[t,T],\\X(t)=x,\end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

and the performance functional becomes

$$\begin{aligned}J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),u_2(\cdot))=J(t,x;u(\cdot))&=\frac12\,\mathbb{E}\Big\{\langle GX(T),X(T)\rangle+2\langle g,X(T)\rangle\\&\quad+\int_t^T\Big[\Big\langle\begin{pmatrix}Q(s)&S(s)^T\\S(s)&R(s)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}X(s)\\u(s)\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}X(s)\\u(s)\end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle+2\Big\langle\begin{pmatrix}q(s)\\\rho(s)\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}X(s)\\u(s)\end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle\Big]ds\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

When $b(\cdot),\sigma(\cdot),q(\cdot),\rho(\cdot),g(\cdot)=0$, we denote the problem by Problem $(\mathrm{SG})^0$, which is a special case of Problem (SG). With the above notation, we introduce the following standard assumptions:

(SG1) The coefficients of the state equation satisfy the following:

$$\begin{cases}A(\cdot)\in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}),\quad B(\cdot)\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}),\quad b(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega;L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)),\\C(\cdot)\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}),\quad D(\cdot)\in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}),\quad\sigma(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n).\end{cases}$$

(SG2) The weighting coefficients in the cost functional satisfy the following:

$$\begin{cases} Q(\cdot)\in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{S}^n), \quad S(\cdot)\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}), \quad R(\cdot)\in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{S}^m), \\ q(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega;L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \rho(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m), \quad G\in\mathbb{S}^n, \quad g\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

Under (SG1), for any $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, and $u(\cdot)\equiv(u_1(\cdot)^T,u_2(\cdot)^T)^T\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]\equiv\mathcal{U}[t,T]$, equation (3.1) admits a unique solution ([22])

$$X(\cdot)\triangleq X(\cdot\,;t,x,u_1(\cdot),u_2(\cdot))\equiv X(\cdot\,;t,x,u(\cdot))\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}\big(\Omega;C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n)\big).$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds:

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\leqslant s\leqslant T}|X(s)|^2\Big)\leqslant K\mathbb{E}\Big\{|x|^2+\Big(\int_t^T|b(s)|ds\Big)^2+\int_t^T|\sigma(s)|^2ds+\int_t^T|u(s)|^2ds\Big\},$$

hereafter, $K>0$ represents a generic constant which can be different from line to line. Therefore, under (SG1)–(SG2), the quadratic performance functional $J(t,x;u(\cdot))\equiv J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),u_2(\cdot))$ is well defined for all $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and $(u_1(\cdot),u_2(\cdot))\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$. Having the above, we now introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.1. (i) A pair $(u_1^*(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot))\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$ is called an *open-loop saddle point* of Problem (SG) for the initial pair $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ if for any $(u_1(\cdot),u_2(\cdot))\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$,

$$J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot),u_2(\cdot))\leqslant J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot))\leqslant J(x;u_1(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)). \tag{3.3}$$

(ii) The *open-loop upper value* $V^+(t,x)$ of Problem (SG) at $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and the *open-loop lower value* $V^-(t,x)$ of Problem (SG) at $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ are defined by the following:

$$\begin{cases} V^+(t,x)=\inf\limits_{u_1(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]}\sup\limits_{u_2(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]}J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),u_2(\cdot)), \\ V^-(t,x)=\sup\limits_{u_2(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]}\inf\limits_{u_1(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]}J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),u_2(\cdot)), \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

which automatically satisfy the following:

$$V^-(t,x)\leqslant V^+(t,x),\qquad (t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n.$$

In the case that

$$V^-(t,x)=V^+(t,x)\equiv V(t,x), \tag{3.5}$$

we say that Problem (SG) admits an *open-loop value* $V(t,x)$ at (t,x). The maps $(t,x)\mapsto V^\pm(t,x)$ and $(t,x)\mapsto V(t,x)$ are called *open-loop upper value function*, *open-loop lower value function*, and *open-loop value function*, respectively.

Next, we let

$$\mathcal{Q}_i[t,T]=L^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^{m_i\times n}),\qquad i=1,2.$$

For any initial pair $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, $\Theta(\cdot)\equiv(\Theta_1(\cdot)^T,\Theta_2(\cdot)^T)^T\in\mathcal{Q}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{Q}_2[t,T]$ and $v(\cdot)\equiv(v_1(\cdot)^T,v_2(\cdot)^T)^T\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$, consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s)=\Big\{\big[A(s)+B(s)\Theta(s)\big]X(s)+B(s)v(s)+b(s)\Big\}ds \\ \qquad\qquad +\Big\{\big[C(s)+D(s)\Theta(s)\big]X(s)+D(s)v(s)+\sigma(s)\Big\}dW(s), \qquad s\in[t,T], \\ X(t)=x. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Clearly, under (SG1), the above admits a unique solution $X(\cdot) \equiv X(\cdot\,; t, x, \Theta_1(\cdot), v_1(\cdot); \Theta_2(\cdot), v_2(\cdot))$. If we denote

$$u_i(\cdot) = \Theta_i(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_i(\cdot), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

then the above (3.6) coincides with the original state equation (1.1). We refer to (3.6) as a *closed-loop system* of the original system. With the solution $X(\cdot)$ to (3.6), we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
&J\big(t, x; \Theta_1(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1(\cdot), \Theta_2(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2(\cdot)\big) \equiv J(t, x; \Theta(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v(\cdot)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, GX(T), X(T) \,\rangle + 2\,\langle\, g, X(T) \,\rangle \\
&\quad + \int_t^T \Big[\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} Q(s) & S(s)^T \\ S(s) & R(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ \Theta(s)X(s) + v(s) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ \Theta(s)X(s) + v(s) \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle \\
&\qquad\quad + 2\,\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} q(s) \\ \rho(s) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X(s) \\ \Theta(s)X(s) + v(s) \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, GX(T), X(T) \,\rangle + 2\,\langle\, g, X(T) \,\rangle \\
&\quad + \int_t^T \Big[\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} Q + \Theta^T S + S^T\Theta + \Theta^T R\Theta & S^T + \Theta^T R \\ S + R\Theta & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X \\ v \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle + 2\,\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} q + \Theta^T\rho \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X \\ v \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle \Big] ds \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can define $J(t, x; \Theta_1(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))$, $J(t, x; u_1(\cdot), \Theta_2(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2(\cdot))$. We now introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.2. A 4-tuple $(\Theta_1^*(\cdot), v_1^*(\cdot); \Theta_2^*(\cdot), v_2^*(\cdot)) \in \mathscr{L}_1[t, T] \times \mathcal{U}_1[t, T] \times \mathscr{L}_2[t, T] \times \mathcal{U}_2[t, T]$ is called a *closed-loop saddle point* of Problem (SG) on $[t, T]$ if for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{U}_1[t, T] \times \mathcal{U}_2[t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J(t, x; \Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) &\leqslant J(t, x; \Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)) \\
&\leqslant J(t, x; u_1(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

There are some remarks in order.

(i) An open-loop saddle point $(u_1^*(\cdot), u_2^*(\cdot))$ usually depends on the initial state x, whereas, a closed-loop saddle point $(\Theta_1^*(\cdot), v_1^*(\cdot); \Theta_2^*(\cdot), v_2^*(\cdot))$ is required to be independent of the initial state x.

(ii) In (3.7), the state process $X(\cdot)$ appearing in $J(t, x; \Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))$ is different from that in $J(t, x; u_1(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot))$; and both are different from $X^*(\cdot) \equiv X(\cdot\,; t, x, \Theta_1^*(\cdot), v_1^*(\cdot); \Theta_2^*(\cdot), v_2^*(\cdot))$ which is the solution of (3.6) corresponding to

$$(\Theta_1(\cdot), v_1(\cdot); \Theta_2(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)) = (\Theta_1^*(\cdot), v_1^*(\cdot); \Theta_2^*(\cdot), v_2^*(\cdot)).$$

Therefore, comparing with (3.3), we see that (3.7) does not imply that $(\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot))$ is an open-loop saddle point of Problem (SG), for the initial pair $(t, X^*(t))$. This is different from Problem (SLQ) (for which the outcome $\bar\Theta(\cdot)\bar X(\cdot) + \bar v(\cdot)$ of a closed-loop optimal strategy $(\bar\Theta(\cdot), \bar v(\cdot))$ is an open-loop optimal control for the initial pair $(t, \bar X(t))$).

More precisely, let us comparing the following two inequalities:

$$J(t, x; u_1^*(\cdot), u_2^*(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t, x; u_1(\cdot), u_2^*(\cdot)), \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$J(t, x; \Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t, x; u_1(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)). \tag{3.9}$$

For (3.8), we look at the following state equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = \big[A(s)X(s) + B_1(s)u_1(s) + B_2(s)u_2^*(s) + b(s)\big]ds \\ \qquad\qquad + \big[C(s)X(s) + D_1(s)u_1(s) + D_2(s)u_2^*(s) + \sigma(s)\big]dW(s), \quad s \in [t,T], \\ X(t) = x, \end{cases}$$

and the following cost functional

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(t,x;u_1(\cdot)) &\equiv J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX(T),X(T)\rangle + 2\langle g,X(T)\rangle \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \Big[\langle QX,X\rangle + 2\langle S_1X,u_1\rangle + \langle R_{11}u_1,u_1\rangle + \langle R_{22}u_2^*,u_2^*\rangle + 2\langle R_{12}u_2^*,u_1\rangle \\ &\qquad + 2\langle S_2X,u_2^*\rangle + 2\langle q,X\rangle + 2\langle \rho_1,u_1\rangle + 2\langle \rho_2,u_2^*\rangle \Big]ds\Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX(T),X(T)\rangle + 2\langle g,X(T)\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle QX,X\rangle + 2\langle S_1X,u_1\rangle + \langle R_{11}u_1,u_1\rangle \\ &\qquad + 2\langle q+S_2^Tu_2^*,X\rangle + 2\langle \rho_1+R_{12}u_2^*,u_1\rangle + \langle R_{22}u_2^*,u_2^*\rangle + 2\langle \rho_2,u_2^*\rangle \Big]ds\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.8) holds if and only if $u_1^*(\cdot)$ is an open-loop optimal control of Problem (SLQ) with

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{A} = A, \quad \widetilde{B} = B_1, \quad \widetilde{b} = b + B_2u_2^*, \quad \widetilde{C} = C, \quad \widetilde{D} = D_1, \quad \widetilde{\sigma} = \sigma + D_2u_2^*, \\ \widetilde{G} = G, \quad \widetilde{g} = g, \quad \widetilde{Q} = Q, \quad \widetilde{S} = S_1, \quad \widetilde{R} = R_{11}, \quad \widetilde{q} = q + S_2^Tu_2^*, \quad \widetilde{\rho} = \rho_1 + R_{12}u_2^*. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

However, for (3.9), we look at the following state equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX_1(s) = \Big\{\big[A(s) + B_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X_1(s) + B_1(s)u_1(s) + B_2(s)v_2^*(s) + b(s)\Big\}ds \\ \qquad\qquad + \Big\{\big[C(s)+D_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X_1(s)+D_1(s)u_1(s)+D_2(s)v_2^*(s)+\sigma(s)\Big\}dW(s), \quad s \in [t,T], \\ X_1(t) = x, \end{cases}$$

and the following cost functional

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{J}_1(t,x;u_1(\cdot)) = J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X_1(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX_1(T),X_1(T)\rangle + 2\langle g,X_1(T)\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle QX_1,X_1\rangle + \langle R_{11}u_1,u_1\rangle \\ &\qquad + \langle R_{22}(\Theta_2^*X_1+v_2^*),\Theta_2^*X_1+v_2^*\rangle + 2\langle S_1X_1,u_1\rangle + 2\langle S_2X_1,\Theta_2^*X_1+v_2^*\rangle \\ &\qquad + 2\langle R_{21}u_1,\Theta_2^*X_1+v_2^*\rangle + 2\langle q,X_1\rangle + 2\langle \rho_1,u_1\rangle + 2\langle \rho_2,\Theta_2^*X_1+v_2^*\rangle \Big]ds\Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX_1(T),X_1(T)\rangle + 2\langle g,X_1(T)\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle QX_1,X_1\rangle + \langle R_{11}u_1,u_1\rangle \\ &\qquad + \langle (\Theta_2^*)^TR_{22}\Theta_2^*X_1,X_1\rangle + 2\langle (\Theta_2^*)^TR_{22}v_2^*,X_1\rangle + \langle R_{22}v_2^*,v_2^*\rangle + 2\langle S_1X_1,u_1\rangle \\ &\qquad + \langle [S_2^T\Theta_2^* + (\Theta_2^*)^TS_2]X_1,X_1\rangle + 2\langle S_2^Tv_2^*,X_1\rangle + 2\langle R_{12}\Theta_2^*X_1,u_1\rangle + 2\langle R_{12}v_2^*,u_1\rangle \\ &\qquad + 2\langle q,X_1\rangle + 2\langle \rho_1,u_1\rangle + 2\langle (\Theta_2^*)^T\rho_2,X_1\rangle + 2\langle \rho_2,v_2^*\rangle \Big]ds\Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX_1(T),X_1(T)\rangle + 2\langle g,X_1(T)\rangle \\ &\qquad + \int_t^T \Big[\langle [Q + (\Theta_2^*)^TR_{22}\Theta_2^* + (\Theta_2^*)^TS_2 + S_2^T\Theta_2^*]X_1,X_1\rangle + \langle R_{11}u_1,u_1\rangle \\ &\qquad + 2\langle (S_1 + R_{12}\Theta_2^*)X_1,u_1\rangle + 2\langle q + [S_2^T + (\Theta_2^*)^TR_{22}]v_2^* + (\Theta_2^*)^T\rho_2,X_1\rangle \\ &\qquad + 2\langle \rho_1 + R_{12}v_2^*,u_1\rangle + \langle R_{22}v_2^*,v_2^*\rangle + 2\langle \rho_2,v_2^*\rangle \Big]ds\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $(\Theta_1^*(\cdot), v_1^*(\cdot))$ is a closed-loop optimal strategy for a Problem (SLQ), with

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{A} = A + B_2\Theta_2^*, \quad \widetilde{B} = B_1, \quad \widetilde{b} = b + B_2 v_2^*, \\ \widetilde{C} = C + D_2\Theta_2^*, \quad \widetilde{D} = D_1, \quad \widetilde{\sigma} = \sigma + D_2 v_2^*, \\ \widetilde{Q} = Q + (\Theta_2^*)^T R_{22}\Theta_2^* + (\Theta_2^*)^T S_2 + S_2^T\Theta_2^*, \quad \widetilde{S} = S_1 + R_{12}\Theta_2^*, \quad \widetilde{R} = R_{11}, \\ \widetilde{q} = q + [S_2^T + (\Theta_2^*)^T R_{22}]v_2^* + (\Theta_2^*)^T\rho_2, \quad \widetilde{\rho} = \rho_1 + R_{12}v_2^*, \\ \widetilde{G} = G, \quad \widetilde{g} = g. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Comparing (3.10) and (3.11), we see that one cannot say anything whether the outcome $\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot)$ of $(\Theta_1^*(\cdot), v_1^*(\cdot))$ for the initial pair (t, x) has anything to do with $u_1^*(\cdot)$.

On the other hand, the following result, which is similar to Berkovitz's equivalence lemma for Problem (DG) found in [4], will tells something a little differently and will be useful below.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* (SG1)–(SG2) *hold. For* $(\Theta_i^*(\cdot), v_i^*(\cdot)) \in \mathscr{Q}_i[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_i[t,T]$, *the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $(\Theta_1^*(\cdot), v_1^*(\cdot); \Theta_2^*(\cdot), v_2^*(\cdot))$ *is a closed-loop saddle point of Problem* (SG) *on* $[t,T]$.

(ii) *For any* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(\Theta_1(\cdot), \Theta_2(\cdot)) \in \mathscr{Q}_1[t,T]\times\mathscr{Q}_2[t,T]$ *and* $(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$, *the following holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), \Theta_2(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2(\cdot)) &\leqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)) \\ &\leqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

(iii) *For any* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$, *the following holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2(\cdot)) &\leqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)) \\ &\leqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2^*(\cdot)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). For any $\Theta_i(\cdot) \in \mathscr{Q}_i[t,T]$ and $v_i(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_i[t,T]$, $i = 1, 2$, let $X(\cdot)$ be the solution to the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = \Big\{\big[A(s) + B_1(s)\Theta_1(s) + B_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X(s) + B_1(s)v_1(s) + B_2(s)v_2^*(s) + b(s)\Big\}ds \\ \qquad\qquad + \Big\{\big[C(s) + D_1(s)\Theta_1(s) + D_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X(s) + D_1(s)v_1(s) + D_2(s)v_2^*(s) + \sigma(s)\Big\}dW(s), \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad s \in [t,T], \\ X(t) = x. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

Set

$$u_1(\cdot) \triangleq \Theta_1(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_1[t,T].$$

By uniqueness, $X(\cdot)$ also solves the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = \Big\{\big[A(s) + B_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X(s) + B_1(s)u_1(s) + B_2(s)v_2^*(s) + b(s)\Big\}dt \\ \qquad\qquad + \Big\{\big[C(s) + D_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X(s) + D_1(s)u_1(s) + D_2(s)v_2^*(s) + \sigma(s)\Big\}dW(s), \quad s \in [t,T], \\ X(t) = x. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore,

$$J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_1^*(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot))$$
$$= J(t,x;\Theta_1(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)).$$

Similarly, we have

$$J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1^*(\cdot),\Theta_2(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_2(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_1^*(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)).$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is trivial, by taking $\Theta_i(\cdot)=\Theta_i^*(\cdot)$, $i=1,2$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). For any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and any $u_1(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]$, let $X(\cdot)$ be the solution of the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s)=\Big\{\big[A(s)+B_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X(s)+B_1(s)u_1(s)+B_2(s)v_2^*(s)+b(s)\Big\}ds \\ \qquad\qquad +\Big\{\big[C(s)+D_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X(s)+D_1(s)u_1(s)+D_2(s)v_2^*(s)+\sigma(s)\Big\}dW(s), \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad s\in[t,T], \\ X(t)=x. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

Set

$$v_1(\cdot)=u_1(\cdot)-\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T],$$

then $X(\cdot)$ is also the solution to the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s)=\Big\{\big[A(s)+B_1(s)\Theta_1^*(s)+B_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X(s)+B_1(s)v_1(s)+B_2(s)v_2^*(s)+b(s)\Big\}ds \\ \qquad\qquad +\Big\{\big[C(s)+D_1(s)\Theta_1^*(s)+D_2(s)\Theta_2^*(s)\big]X(s)+D_1(s)v_1(s)+D_2(s)v_2^*(s)+\sigma(s)\Big\}dW(s), \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad s\in[t,T], \\ X(t)=x. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore,

$$J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_1^*(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot))$$
$$= J(t,x;u_1(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)).$$

Similarly, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and any $u_2(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$, we can show that

$$J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1^*(\cdot),u_2(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_1^*(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)).$$

Thus, (i) holds. □

We note that (iii) of Proposition 3.3 tells us that if we consider the following state equation (denoting $\Theta^*(\cdot)=(\Theta_1^*(\cdot)^T,\Theta_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T$)

$$\begin{cases} dX=\Big\{(A+B\Theta^*)X+B_1v_1+B_2v_2^*+b\Big\}ds \\ \qquad\quad +\Big\{(C+D\Theta^*)X+D_1v_1+D_2v_2^*+\sigma\Big\}dW(s), \\ X(t)=x, \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

with the cost functional

$$J_1(t,x;v_1(\cdot))=J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)), \tag{3.19}$$

then $v_1^*(\cdot)$ is an open-loop optimal control of the corresponding Problem (SLQ). Likewise, if we consider the following state equation

$$\begin{cases} dX = \Big\{(A+B\Theta^*)X + B_2 v_2 + B_1 v_1^* + b\Big\}ds \\ \qquad\quad + \Big\{(C+D\Theta^*)X + D_2 v_2 + D_1 v_1^* + \sigma\Big\}dW(s), \\ X(t) = x, \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

with the cost functional

$$J_2(t,x;v_2(\cdot)) = -J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_1^*(\cdot), \Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot) + v_2(\cdot)), \tag{3.21}$$

then $v_2^*(\cdot)$ is an open-loop optimal control of the corresponding Problem (SLQ). The above observation will be useful below.

4 Open-Loop Saddle Points and FBSDEs

In this section, we present a characterization of open-loop saddle points of Problem (SG) in terms of forward-backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDE, for short). See [15] for some relevant results on FBSDEs. The main result of this section can be stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* (SG1)–(SG2) *hold and let* $(t,x) \in [t,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ *be given. Let* $u^*(\cdot) \equiv (u_1^*(\cdot)^T, u_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T \in \mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$ *and* $X^*(\cdot) \equiv X(\cdot\,;t,x,u^*(\cdot))$ *be the corresponding state process. Then* $u^*(\cdot)$ *is an open-loop saddle point of Problem* (SG) *if and only if the following stationarity conditions hold:*

$$B(s)^T Y^*(s) + D(s)^T Z^*(s) + S(s)X^*(s) + R(s)u^*(s) + \rho(s) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T], \text{ a.s.} \tag{4.1}$$

where $(Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot))$ *is the adapted solution to the following BSDE:*

$$\begin{cases} dY^*(s) = -\big[A(s)^T Y^*(s) + C(s)^T Z^*(s) + Q(s)X^*(s) + S(s)^T u^*(s) + q(s)\big]ds + Z^*(s)dW(s), \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad s\in[t,T], \\ Y^*(T) = GX^*(T) + g, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

and the following convexity-concavity conditions hold: For $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{i-1}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX_i(T), X_i(T)\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle Q(s)X_i(s), X_i(s)\rangle + 2\langle S_i(s)X_i(s), u_i(s)\rangle \\ + \langle R_{ii}(s)u_i(s), u_i(s)\rangle \Big]ds \Big\} \geqslant 0, \qquad \forall u_i(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_i[t,T], \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $X_i(\cdot)$ *solves the following:*

$$\begin{cases} dX_i(s) = \big[A(s)X_i(s) + B_i(s)u_i(s)\big]ds + \big[C(s)X_i(s) + D_i(s)u_i(s)\big]dW(s), \quad s\in[t,T], \\ X_i(t) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let $u^*(\cdot) \equiv (u_1^*(\cdot)^T, u_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T \in \mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$ and $X^*(\cdot)$ be the corresponding state process. Further, let $(Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot))$ be the adapted solution to the BSDE (4.2). For any $u_1(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]$ and $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{R}$, let $X^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ be the solution to the following perturbed state equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX^\varepsilon(s) = \big\{A(s)X^\varepsilon(s) + B_1(s)[u_1^*(s)+\varepsilon u_1(s)] + B_2(s)u_2^*(s) + b(s)\big\}ds \\ \qquad\quad + \big\{C(s)X^\varepsilon(s) + D_1(s)[u_1^*(s)+\varepsilon u_1(s)] + D_2(s)u_2^*(s) + \sigma(s)\big\}dW(s), \quad s\in[t,T], \\ X^\varepsilon(t) = x. \end{cases}$$

Then $X_1(\cdot) = \frac{X^\varepsilon(\cdot) - X^*(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}$ is independent of ε satisfying (4.4) (with $i = 1$), and

$$\begin{aligned}
&J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot)+\varepsilon u_1(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)) - J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, G\big[2X^*(T)+\varepsilon X_1(T)\big], X_1(T)\,\rangle + 2\,\langle\, g, X_1(T)\,\rangle \\
&\qquad + \int_t^T \Big[\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} Q & S_1^T & S_2^T \\ S_1 & R_{11} & R_{12} \\ S_2 & R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2X^*+\varepsilon X_1 \\ 2u_1^*+\varepsilon u_1 \\ 2u_2^* \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ u_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle + 2\,\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \rho_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \Big\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \varepsilon\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, GX^*(T)+g, X_1(T)\,\rangle \\
&\qquad + \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, QX^* + S^Tu^* + q, X_1\,\rangle + \langle\, S_1X^* + R_{11}u_1^* + R_{12}u_2^* + \rho_1, u_1\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&\qquad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, GX_1(T), X_1(T)\,\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, QX_1, X_1\,\rangle + 2\,\langle\, S_1X_1, u_1\,\rangle + \langle\, R_{11}u_1, u_1\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, GX^*(T)+g, X_1(T)\,\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, QX^* + S^Tu^* + q, X_1\,\rangle + \langle\, S_1X^* + R_{11}u_1^* + R_{12}u_2^* + \rho_1, u_1\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\Big\{ \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, -(A^TY^* + C^TZ^* + QX^* + S^Tu^* + q), X_1\,\rangle + \langle\, Y^*, AX_1 + B_1u_1\,\rangle + \langle\, Z^*, CX_1 + D_1u_1\,\rangle \\
&\qquad\qquad + \langle\, QX^* + S^Tu^* + q, X_1\,\rangle + \langle\, S_1X^* + R_{11}u_1^* + R_{12}u_2^* + \rho_1, u_1\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle\, B_1^TY^* + D_1^TZ^* + S_1X^* + R_{11}u_1^* + R_{12}u_2^* + \rho_1, u_1\,\rangle\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot)+\varepsilon u_1(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)) - J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)) \\
&= \varepsilon\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \int_t^T \langle\, B_1^TY^* + D_1^TZ^* + S_1X^* + R_{11}u_1^* + R_{12}u_2^* + \rho_1, u_1\,\rangle\, ds \Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle\, GX_1(T), X_1(T)\,\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle\, QX_1, X_1\,\rangle + 2\,\langle\, S_1X_1, u_1\,\rangle + \langle\, R_{11}u_1, u_1\,\rangle \Big] ds \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot)+\varepsilon u_1(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)), \qquad \forall u_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_1[t,T], \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R},$$

if and only if (4.3) holds for $i = 1$, and

$$B_1^TY^* + D_1^TZ^* + S_1X^* + R_{11}u_1^* + R_{12}u_2^* + \rho_1 = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } s \in [t,T], \text{ a.s.} \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly,

$$J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)) \geqslant J(t,x;u_1^*(\cdot),u_2^*(\cdot)+\varepsilon u_2(\cdot)), \qquad \forall u_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_2[t,T], \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R},$$

if and only if (4.3) holds for $i = 2$, and

$$B_2^TY^* + D_2^TZ^* + S_2X^* + R_{21}u_1^* + R_{22}u_2^* + \rho_2 = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } s \in [t,T], \text{ a.s.} \tag{4.6}$$

Combining (4.5)–(4.6), we obtain (4.1). □

From the above result, we see that if Problem (SG) admits an open-loop saddle point $u^*(\cdot) \equiv (u_1^*(\cdot)^T, u_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T$, then the following FBSDE admits an adapted solution $(X^*(\cdot), Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot), u^*(\cdot))$:

$$
\begin{cases}
dX^*(s) = \big[A(s)X^*(s) + B(s)u^*(s) + b(s)\big]ds + \big[C(s)X^*(s) + D(s)u^*(s) + \sigma(s)\big]dW(s), \ \ s \in [t,T], \\
dY^*(s) = -\big[A(s)^T Y^*(s) + C(s)^T Z^*(s) + Q(s)X^*(s) + S(s)^T u^*(s) + q(s)\big]ds \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + Z^*(s)dW(s), \quad s \in [t,T], \\
X^*(t) = x, \qquad Y^*(T) = GX^*(T) + g, \\
B(s)^T Y^*(s) + D(s)^T Z^*(s) + S(s)X^*(s) + R(s)u^*(s) + \rho(s) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } s \in [t,T], \text{ a.s.}
\end{cases} \tag{4.7}
$$

The following result is concerned with the uniqueness of open-loop saddle points.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* (SG1)–(SG2) *hold, and let* $(t,x) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ *be given. Suppose Problem* (SG) *admits a unique open-loop saddle point* $u^*(\cdot)$ *at* (t,x)*. Then FBSDE* (4.7) *admits a unique adapted solution* $(X^*(\cdot), Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot), u^*(\cdot))$*. Conversely, if the convexity-concavity conditions stated in Theorem 4.1 holds and BFSDE* (4.7) *admits a unique adapted solution* $(X^*(\cdot), Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot), u^*(\cdot))$*, then* $u^*(\cdot)$ *is the unique saddle point of Problem* (SG)*.*

Proof. Suppose $u^*(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[t,T]$ is a unique open-loop saddle point of Problem (SG). Then by Theorem 4.1, FBSDE (4.7) admits an adapted solution $(X^*(\cdot), Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot), u^*(\cdot))$, and the convex-concave conditions (4.3) hold. Now, if FBSDE (4.7) admits another different adapted solution $(\bar{X}(\cdot), \bar{Y}(\cdot), \bar{Z}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$. Since the convexity-concavity conditions are satisfied, by the sufficiency part of Theorem 4.1, $\bar{u}(\cdot)$ is a different open-loop saddle point, a contradiction.

Conversely, if Problem (SG) has two different open-loop saddle points, then FBSDE (4.7) will have two different adapted solutions. □

5 Closed-Loop Saddle Points and Riccati Equations

We now look at closed-loop saddle points for Problem (SG). First, we present the following result which is a consequence of Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* (SG1)–(SG2) *hold. Let* $(\Theta^*(\cdot), v^*(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{Q}[t,T] \times \mathcal{U}[t,T]$ *be a closed-loop saddle point of Problem* (SG)*. Then the following FBSDE admits an adapted solution* $(X^*(\cdot), Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot))$*:*

$$
\begin{cases}
dX^* = \big\{(A + B\Theta^*)X^* + Bv^* + b\big\}ds + \big\{(C + D\Theta^*)X^* + Dv^* + \sigma\big\}dW(s), \quad s \in [t,T], \\
dY^*(s) = -\big\{A^T Y^* + C^T Z^* + (Q + S^T\Theta^*)X^* + S^T v^* + q\big\}ds + Z^* dW(s), \\
X^*(t) = x, \qquad Y^*(T) = GX^*(T) + g,
\end{cases} \tag{5.1}
$$

and the following stationarity condition holds:

$$
Rv^* + B^T Y^* + D^T Z^* + (S + R\Theta^*)X^* + \rho = 0, \quad \text{a.e. a.s.} \tag{5.2}
$$

Proof. Let $(\Theta^*(\cdot), v^*(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{Q}[t,T] \times \mathcal{U}[t,T]$ be a closed-loop saddle point of Problem (SG) with $\Theta^*(\cdot) = (\Theta_1^*(\cdot)^T, \Theta_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T$ and $v^*(\cdot) = (v_1^*(\cdot)^T, v_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T$. We consider state equation (3.18) with the cost functional

(3.19) for which we carry out some computation: (denoting $\widetilde{v} = (v_1^T, (v_2^*)^T)^T$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J_1(t,x;v_1(\cdot)) \equiv J(t,x;\Theta^* X(\cdot) + \widetilde{v}(\cdot)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX(T), X(T)\rangle + 2\langle g, X(T)\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle QX, X\rangle + 2\langle SX, \Theta^* X + \widetilde{v}\rangle \\
&\qquad + \langle R(\Theta^* X + \widetilde{v}), \Theta^* X + \widetilde{v}\rangle + 2\langle q, X\rangle + 2\langle \rho, \Theta^* X + \widetilde{v}\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX(T), X(T)\rangle + 2\langle g, X(T)\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle [Q + (\Theta^*)^T S + S^T\Theta^* + (\Theta^*)^T R\Theta^*]X, X\rangle \\
&\qquad + 2\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} (S_1 + R_1\Theta^*)X \\ (S_2 + R_2\Theta^*)X \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2^* \end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle + \Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2^* \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2^* \end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad + 2\Big\langle q + (\Theta^*)^T\rho, X\Big\rangle + 2\Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2^* \end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle GX(T), X(T)\rangle + 2\langle g, X(T)\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle [Q + (\Theta^*)^T S + S^T\Theta^* + (\Theta^*)^T R\Theta^*]X, X\rangle \\
&\qquad + 2\langle (S_1 + R_1\Theta^*)X, v_1\rangle + 2\langle q + (\Theta^*)^T\rho + (S_2 + R_2\Theta^*)^T v_2^*, X\rangle \\
&\qquad + \langle R_{11}v_1, v_1\rangle + 2\langle \rho_1 + R_{12}v_2^*, v_1\rangle + \langle R_{22}v_2^*, v_2^*\rangle + 2\langle \rho_2, v_2^*\rangle \Big] ds \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that $v_1^*(\cdot)$ is an open-loop optimal control for the problem with state equation (3.18) and the above cost functional. Thus, according to Theorem 4.1, we have

$$
0 = B_1^T Y^* + D_1^T Z^* + (S_1 + R_1\Theta^*)X^* + R_{11}v_1^* + \rho_1 + R_{12}v_2^*, \quad \text{a.e. a.s.}
$$

with $(Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot))$ being the adapted solution to the following BSDE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
dY^* &= -\big\{(A + B\Theta^*)^T Y^* + (C + D\Theta^*)^T Z^* + [Q + (\Theta^*)^T S + S^T\Theta^* + (\Theta^*)^T R\Theta^*]X^* \\
&\qquad + (S_1 + R_1\Theta^*)^T v_1^* + q + (\Theta^*)^T\rho + (S_2 + R_2\Theta^*)^T v_2^*\big\} ds + Z^* dW \\
&= -\big\{A^T Y^* + C^T Z^* + QX^* + S^T(\Theta^* X^* + v^*) + q \\
&\qquad + (\Theta^*)^T[B^T Y^* + D^T Z^* + SX^* + R(\Theta^* X^* + v^*) + \rho]\big\} ds + Z^* dW \\
Y^*(T) &= GX^*(T) + g.
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, by considering state equation (3.20) and payoff functional (3.21), we can obtain

$$
0 = B_2^T Y^* + D_2^T Z^* + (S_2 + R_2\Theta^*)X^* + R_{21}v_1^* + \rho_2 + R_{22}v_2^*, \quad \text{a.e. a.s.}
$$

with $(Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot))$ being the adapted solution to the same BSDE as above. Thus,

$$
0 = B^T Y^* + D^T Z^* + (S + R\Theta^*)X^* + Rv^* + \rho, \quad \text{a.e. a.s.}
$$

Then the above BSDE is reduced to that in (5.1). □

The following result gives a characterization for closed-loop saddle points of Problem (SG).

Theorem 5.2. *Let* (SG1)–(SG2) *hold. Then Problem* (SG) *admits a closed-loop saddle point* $(\Theta^*(\cdot), v^*(\cdot)) \in \mathscr{Q}[t,T] \times \mathcal{U}[t,T]$ *with* $\Theta^*(\cdot) \equiv (\Theta_1^*(\cdot)^T, \Theta_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T$ *and* $v^*(\cdot) \equiv (v_1^*(\cdot)^T, v_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T$ *if and only if the following Riccati equation:*

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{P}(s) + P(s)A(s) + A(s)^T P(s) + C(s)^T P(s)C(s) + Q(s) \\
\quad -\big[P(s)B(s) + C(s)^T P(s)D(s) + S(s)^T\big]\big[R(s) + D(s)^T P(s)D(s)\big]^\dagger \\
\quad\quad \cdot\big[B(s)^T P(s) + D(s)^T P(s)C(s) + S(s)\big] = 0, \qquad \text{a.e. } s \in [t,T], \\
P(T) = G,
\end{cases} \tag{5.3}
$$

admits a solution $P(\cdot) \in C([t,T];\mathbb{S}^n)$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{R}\big(B(s)^TP(s)+D(s)^TP(s)C(s)+S(s)\big) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\big(R(s)+D(s)^TP(s)D(s)\big), \quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T], \tag{5.4}$$

$$\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]^\dagger\big[B(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)C(\cdot)+S(\cdot)\big] \in L^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}), \tag{5.5}$$

$$R_{11}(s)+D_1(s)^TP(s)D_1(s)\geqslant 0, \quad R_{22}(s)+D_2(s)^TP(s)D_2(s)\leqslant 0, \quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T], \tag{5.6}$$

and the adapted solution $(\eta(\cdot),\zeta(\cdot))$ *of the following BSDE:*

$$\begin{cases} d\eta = -\Big\{\big[A^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger B^T\big]\eta \\ \qquad\quad +\big[C^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger D^T\big]\zeta \\ \qquad\quad +\big[C^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger D^T\big]P\sigma \\ \qquad\quad -(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger\rho+Pb+q\Big\}ds+\zeta dW(s), \\ \eta(T)=g, \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

satisfies

$$B(s)^T\eta(s)+D(s)^T\zeta(s)+D(s)^TP(s)\sigma(s)+\rho(s)\in\mathcal{R}\big(R(s)+D(s)^TP(s)D(s)\big), \quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T], \text{ a.s.} \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]^\dagger\big[B(\cdot)^T\eta(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^T\zeta(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)\sigma(\cdot)+\rho(\cdot)\big] \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(t,T;\mathbb{R}^m). \tag{5.9}$$

In this case, the closed-loop saddle point $(\Theta^*(\cdot),v^*(\cdot))$ *admits the following representation:*

$$\begin{cases} \Theta^*(\cdot) = -[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)]^\dagger[B(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)C(\cdot)+S(\cdot)] \\ \qquad\quad +\big\{I-\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]^\dagger\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]\big\}\theta(\cdot), \\ v^*(\cdot) = -\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]^\dagger\big[B(\cdot)^T\eta(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^T\zeta(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)\sigma(\cdot)+\rho(\cdot)\big] \\ \qquad\quad +\big\{I-\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]^\dagger\big[R(\cdot)+D(\cdot)^TP(\cdot)D(\cdot)\big]\big\}\nu(\cdot), \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

for some $\theta(\cdot)\in L^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times n})$ *and* $\nu(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(t,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Further, the value function admits the following representation:

$$\begin{aligned} V(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{E}\Big\{ &\langle P(t)x,x\rangle + 2\langle \eta(t),x\rangle + \int_t^T \big[\langle P\sigma,\sigma\rangle + 2\langle \eta,b\rangle + 2\langle \zeta,\sigma\rangle \\ &-\langle (R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho), B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho\rangle\big]ds\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. Necessity. Let $(\Theta^*(\cdot),v^*(\cdot))$ be a closed-loop saddle point of Problem (SG) over $[t,T]$, where $\Theta^*(\cdot)\equiv(\Theta_1^*(\cdot)^T,\Theta_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T\in\mathscr{Q}_1[t,T]\times\mathscr{Q}_2[t,T]$ and $v^*(\cdot)\equiv(v_1^*(\cdot)^T,v_2^*(\cdot)^T)^T\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$. Then, by Proposition 5.1, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, the following FBSDE admits an adapted solution $(X^*(\cdot),Y^*(\cdot),Z^*(\cdot))$:

$$\begin{cases} dX^* = \big\{(A+B\Theta^*)X^*+Bv^*+b\big\}ds+\big\{(C+D\Theta^*)X^*+Dv^*+\sigma\big\}dW(s), \quad s\in[t,T], \\ dY^*(s) = -\big\{A^TY^*+C^TZ^*+(Q+S^T\Theta^*)X^*+S^Tv^*+q\big\}ds+Z^*dW(s), \\ X^*(t)=x, \qquad Y^*(T)=GX^*(T)+g, \end{cases} \tag{5.12}$$

and the following stationarity condition holds:

$$B^TY^*+D^TZ^*+(S+R\Theta^*)X^*+Rv^*+\rho=0,\quad \text{a.e. a.s.} \tag{5.13}$$

Since the above admits a solution for each $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and $(\Theta^*(\cdot),v^*(\cdot))$ is independent of x, by subtracting solutions corresponding x and 0, the later from the former, we see that for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, as long as $(X(\cdot),Y(\cdot),Z(\cdot))$ is the adapted solution to the following FBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX=(A+B\Theta^*)Xds+(C+D\Theta^*)XdW(s),\quad s\in[t,T],\\ dY=-\big[A^TY+C^TZ+(Q+S^T\Theta^*)X\big]ds+ZdW(s),\quad s\in[t,T],\\ X(t)=x,\qquad Y(T)=GX(T), \end{cases} \tag{5.14}$$

one must have the following stationarity condition:

$$B^TY+C^TZ+(S+R\Theta^*)X=0,\quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T],\ \text{a.s.} \tag{5.15}$$

Now, we let

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbb{X}(s)=\big[A(s)+B(s)\Theta^*(s)\big]\mathbb{X}(s)ds+\big[C(s)+D(s)\Theta^*(s)\big]\mathbb{X}(s)dW(s),\quad s\in[t,T],\\ \mathbb{X}(t)=I, \end{cases} \tag{5.16}$$

and let

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbb{Y}(s)=\big\{-A(s)^T\mathbb{Y}(s)-C(s)^T\mathbb{Z}(s)-\big[Q(s)+S(s)^T\Theta^*(s)\big]\mathbb{X}(s)\big\}ds\\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +\mathbb{Z}(s)dW(s),\qquad s\in[t,T],\\ \mathbb{Y}(T)=G\mathbb{X}(T). \end{cases} \tag{5.17}$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{X}(\cdot)$, $\mathbb{Y}(\cdot)$, and $\mathbb{Z}(\cdot)$ are all well-defined square matrix valued processes. Further, (5.15) implies

$$B(s)^T\mathbb{Y}(s)+D(s)^T\mathbb{Z}(s)+\big[S(s)+R(s)\Theta^*(s)\big]\mathbb{X}(s)=0,\quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T],\ \text{a.s.} \tag{5.18}$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{X}(\cdot)^{-1}$ exists and satisfies the following:

$$\begin{cases} d\big[\mathbb{X}(s)^{-1}\big]=\mathbb{X}(s)^{-1}\big\{\big[C(s)+D(s)\Theta^*(s)\big]^2-A(s)-B(s)\Theta^*(s)\big\}ds\\ \qquad\qquad -\mathbb{X}(s)^{-1}\big[C(s)+D(s)\Theta^*(s)\big]dW(s),\qquad s\in[t,T],\\ \mathbb{X}(t)^{-1}=I. \end{cases} \tag{5.19}$$

We define

$$P(\cdot)=\mathbb{Y}(\cdot)\mathbb{X}(\cdot)^{-1},\qquad \Pi(\cdot)=\mathbb{Z}(\cdot)\mathbb{X}(\cdot)^{-1}.$$

Then (5.18) implies

$$B^TP+D^T\Pi+(S+R\Theta^*)=0,\quad \text{a.e.} \tag{5.20}$$

Also, by Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} dP=&\Big\{-\big[A^T\mathbb{Y}+C^T\mathbb{Z}+(Q+S^T\Theta^*)\mathbb{X}\big]\mathbb{X}^{-1}+\mathbb{Y}\mathbb{X}^{-1}\big[(C+D\Theta^*)^2-A-B\Theta^*\big]-\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{X}^{-1}(C+D\Theta^*)\Big\}ds\\ &+\Big\{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{X}^{-1}-\mathbb{Y}\mathbb{X}^{-1}(C+D\Theta^*)\Big\}dW(s)\\ =&\Big\{-A^TP-C^T\Pi-Q-S^T\Theta^*+P\big[(C+D\Theta^*)^2-A-B\Theta^*\big]-\Pi(C+D\Theta^*)\Big\}ds\\ &+\Big\{\Pi-P(C+D\Theta^*)\Big\}dW(s). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Lambda = \Pi - P(C + D\Theta^*).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} dP = &\Big\{ - A^TP - C^T[\Lambda + P(C + D\Theta^*)] - Q - S^T\Theta^* + P\big[(C+ D\Theta^*)^2 - A - B\Theta^*\big] \\ &\quad -[\Lambda + P(C + D\Theta^*)](C+ D\Theta^*)\Big\}ds + \Lambda dW(s) \\ =&\Big\{-PA-A^TP-\Lambda C-C^T\Lambda-C^TPC-(PB+C^TPD+S^T+\Lambda D)\Theta^*-Q\Big\}ds+\Lambda dW(s), \end{aligned}$$

and $P(T) = G$. Thus, $(P(\cdot), \Lambda(\cdot))$ is the adapted solution of a BSDE with deterministic coefficients. Hence, $P(\cdot)$ is deterministic and $\Lambda(\cdot) = 0$ which means

$$\Pi = \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{X}^{-1} = P(C + D\Theta^*). \tag{5.21}$$

Therefore,

$$\dot P + PA + A^TP + C^TPC + (PB + C^TPD + S^T)\Theta^* + Q = 0, \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{5.22}$$

and (5.20) becomes

$$0 = B^TP + D^TP(C + D\Theta^*) + S + R\Theta^* = B^TP + D^TPC + S + (R + D^TPD)\Theta^*, \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{5.23}$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{R}\big(B^TP + D^TPC + S\big) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\big(R + D^TPD\big), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Using (5.23), (5.22) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = &\dot P + P(A + B\Theta^*) + (A + B\Theta^*)^TP + (C + D\Theta^*)^TP(C + D\Theta^*) \\ &+(\Theta^*)^TR\Theta^* + S^T\Theta^* + (\Theta^*)^TS + Q, \quad \text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $P(T) = G \in \mathbb{S}^n$ and $Q(\cdot), R(\cdot)$ are symmetric, by uniqueness, we must have $P(\cdot) \in C([t, T]; \mathbb{S}^n)$. Denoting $\widehat R = R + D^TPD$, since

$$\widehat R^\dagger(B^TP + D^TPC + S) = -\widehat R^\dagger\widehat R\Theta^*,$$

and $\widehat R^\dagger\widehat R$ is an orthogonal projection, we see that (5.5) holds and

$$\Theta^* = -\widehat R^\dagger(B^TP + D^TPC + S) + \big(I - \widehat R^\dagger\widehat R\big)\theta$$

for some $\theta(\cdot) \in L^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^{m\times n})$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} &(PB + C^TPD + S^T)\Theta^* = (\Theta^*)^T\widehat R\widehat R^\dagger(B^TP + D^TPC + S) \\ &= -(PB + C^TPD + S^T)\widehat R^\dagger(B^TP + D^TPC + S). \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Plug the above into (5.22), we obtain Riccati equation (5.3). To determine $v^*(\cdot)$, we define

$$\begin{cases} \eta = Y^* - PX^*, \\ \zeta = Z^* - P(C + D\Theta^*)X^* - PDv^* - P\sigma. \end{cases} \qquad s \in [t, T].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d\eta &= dY^* - \dot{P}X^*ds - PdX^* \\
&= -\big[A^TY^* + C^TZ^* + (Q + S^T\Theta^*)X^* + S^Tv^* + q\big]ds + Z^*dW \\
&\quad + \Big\{\big[PA + A^TP + C^TPC + Q - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger(B^TP + D^TPC + S)\big]X^* \\
&\quad - P[(A + B\Theta^*)X^* + Bv^* + b]\Big\}ds - P\big[(C + D\Theta^*)X^* + Dv^* + \sigma\big]dW \\
&= -\Big\{A^T(\eta + PX^*) + C^T\big[\zeta + P(C + D\Theta^*)X^* + PDv^* + P\sigma\big] + (Q + S^T\Theta^*)X^* + S^Tv^* + q \\
&\quad - \big[PA + A^TP + C^TPC + Q - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger(B^TP + D^TPC + S)\big]X^* \\
&\quad + P[(A + B\Theta^*)X^* + Bv^* + b]\Big\}ds + \zeta dW \\
&= \Big\{-A^T\eta - C^T\zeta - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)\Theta^*X^* - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)v^* - C^TP\sigma - Pb - q \\
&\quad - \big[(PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger(B^TP + D^TPC + S)\big]X^*\Big\}ds + \zeta dW \\
&= -\big[A^T\eta + C^T\zeta + (PB + C^TPD + S^T)v^* + C^TP\sigma + Pb + q\big]ds + \zeta dW.
\end{aligned}$$

According to (5.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= B^TY^* + D^TZ^* + (S + R\Theta^*)X^* + Rv^* + \rho \\
&= B^T(\eta + PX^*) + D^T[\zeta + P(C + D\Theta^*)X^* + PDv^* + P\sigma] + (S + R\Theta^*)X^* + Rv^* + \rho \\
&= [B^TP + D^TPC + S + (R + D^TPD)\Theta^*]X^* + B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho + (R + D^TPD)v^* \\
&= B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho + (R + D^TPD)v^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho \in \mathcal{R}(R + D^TPD), \quad \text{a.e. a.s.}$$

Since $\widehat{R}^\dagger(B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho) = -\widehat{R}^\dagger\widehat{R}v^*$, and $\widehat{R}^\dagger\widehat{R}$ is an orthogonal projection, we see that (5.9) holds and

$$v^* = -\widehat{R}^\dagger(B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho) + \big[I - \widehat{R}^\dagger\widehat{R}\big]\nu$$

for some $\nu(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(t, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
(PB + C^TPD + S^T)v^* &= -(PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho) \\
&\quad + (PB + C^TPD + S^T)\big[I - (R + D^TPD)^\dagger(R + D^TPD)\big]\nu \\
&= -(PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&A^T\eta + C^T\zeta + (PB + C^TPD + S^T)v^* + C^TP\sigma + Pb + q \\
&= A^T\eta + C^T\zeta - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho) + C^TP\sigma + Pb + q \\
&= \big[A^T - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger B^T\big]\eta + \big[C^T - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger D^T\big]\zeta \\
&\quad + \big[C^T - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger D^T\big]P\sigma \\
&\quad - (PB + C^TPD + S^T)(R + D^TPD)^\dagger\rho + Pb + q.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (η,ζ) is the adapted solution to the following BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} d\eta = -\Big\{\big[A^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger B^T\big]\eta \\ \qquad\quad +\big[C^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger D^T\big]\zeta \\ \qquad\quad +\big[C^T-(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger D^T\big]P\sigma \\ \qquad\quad -(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger\rho+Pb+q\Big\}ds+\zeta dW(s), \\ \eta(T)=g. \end{cases}$$

To prove (5.6) as well as the sufficiency, we take any $u(\cdot)=(u_1(\cdot)^T,u_2(\cdot)^T)^T\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T]\times\mathcal{U}_2[t,T]$, let $X(\cdot)\equiv X(\cdot\,;t,x,u(\cdot))$ be the corresponding state process. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J(t,x;u(\cdot)) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{\langle GX(T),X(T)\rangle+2\langle g,X(T)\rangle \\ &\qquad +\int_t^T\big[\langle QX,X\rangle+2\langle SX,u\rangle+\langle Ru,u\rangle+2\langle q,X\rangle+2\langle\rho,u\rangle\big]ds\Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{\langle P(t)x,x\rangle+2\langle\eta(t),x\rangle \\ &\quad +\int_t^T\{\langle\big[-PA-A^TP-C^TPC-Q+(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^TP+D^TPC+S)\big]X,X\rangle \\ &\quad +\langle P(AX+Bu+b),X\rangle+\langle PX,AX+Bu+b\rangle+\langle P(CX+Du+\sigma),CX+Du+\sigma\rangle \\ &\quad +2\langle\big[-A^T+(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger B^T]\eta,X\rangle \\ &\quad +2\langle\big[-C^T+(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger D^T]P\sigma,X\rangle \\ &\quad +2\langle\big[-C^T+(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger D^T]\zeta,X\rangle \\ &\quad +2\langle\big(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger\rho-Pb-q,X\rangle+2\langle\zeta,CX+Du+\sigma\rangle \\ &\quad +2\langle\eta,AX+Bu+b\rangle+\langle QX,X\rangle+2\langle SX,u\rangle+\langle Ru,u\rangle+2\langle q,X\rangle+2\langle\rho,u\rangle\big\}ds\Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{\langle P(t)x,x\rangle+2\langle\eta(t),x\rangle+\int_t^T\Big[\langle P\sigma,\sigma\rangle+2\langle\eta,b\rangle+2\langle\zeta,\sigma\rangle \\ &\quad +\langle(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^TP+D^TPC+S)X,X\rangle \\ &\quad +2\langle(B^TP+D^TPC+S)X+B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho,u\rangle+\langle(R+D^TPD)u,u\rangle \\ &\quad +2\langle(PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho),X\rangle\Big]ds\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{cases} B^TP+D^TPC+S=-(R+D^TPD)\Theta^*\equiv-\widehat{R}\Theta^*, \\ B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho=-(R+D^TPD)v^*\equiv-\widehat{R}v^*. \end{cases}$$

Also, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle(R+D^TPD)v^*,v^*\rangle &= \langle\widehat{R}\widehat{R}^\dagger(B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho),\widehat{R}^\dagger(B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho)\rangle \\ &= \langle(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho),B^T\eta+D^T\zeta+D^TP\sigma+\rho\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
J(t,x;u(\cdot)) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle P(t)x,x\rangle + 2\langle \eta(t),x\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle P\sigma,\sigma\rangle + 2\langle \eta,b\rangle + 2\langle \zeta,\sigma\rangle \\
&\quad + \langle (PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^TP+D^TPC+S)X,X\rangle \\
&\quad + 2\langle (B^TP+D^TPC+S)X + B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho, u\rangle + \langle (R+D^TPD)u,u\rangle \\
&\quad + 2\langle (PB+C^TPD+S^T)(R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho), X\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle P(t)x,x\rangle + 2\langle \eta(t),x\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle P\sigma,\sigma\rangle + 2\langle \eta,b\rangle + 2\langle \zeta,\sigma\rangle \\
&\quad + \langle (\Theta^*)^T\widehat{R}\widehat{R}^\dagger\widehat{R}\Theta^*X,X\rangle - 2\langle \widehat{R}(\Theta^*X+v^*),u\rangle + \langle \widehat{R}u,u\rangle + 2\langle (\Theta^*)^T\widehat{R}\widehat{R}^\dagger\widehat{R}v^*,X\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle P(t)x,x\rangle + 2\langle \eta(t),x\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle P\sigma,\sigma\rangle + 2\langle \eta,b\rangle + 2\langle \zeta,\sigma\rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \widehat{R}\Theta^*X,\Theta^*X\rangle - 2\langle \widehat{R}(\Theta^*X+v^*),u\rangle + \langle \widehat{R}u,u\rangle + 2\langle \widehat{R}\Theta^*X,v^*\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{ \langle P(t)x,x\rangle + 2\langle \eta(t),x\rangle + \int_t^T \Big[\langle P\sigma,\sigma\rangle + 2\langle \eta,b\rangle + 2\langle \zeta,\sigma\rangle \\
&\qquad - \langle (R+D^TPD)^\dagger(B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho), B^T\eta + D^T\zeta + D^TP\sigma + \rho\rangle \\
&\qquad + \langle (R+D^TPD)(u-\Theta^*X-v^*), u-\Theta^*X-v^*\rangle \Big] ds \Big\} \\
&= J\big(t,x;\Theta^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v^*(\cdot)\big) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle (R+D^TPD)(u-\Theta^*X-v^*), u-\Theta^*X-v^*\rangle\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
&J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)) \\
&= J(t,x;\Theta^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v^*(\cdot)) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle (R_{11}+D_1^TPD_1)(v_1-v_1^*), v_1-v_1^*\rangle\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$J(t,x;\Theta^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v^*(\cdot)) \leqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_2^*(\cdot)), \quad \forall v_1(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_1[t,T],$$

if and only if

$$R_{11}+D_1^TPD_1 \geqslant 0, \quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T].$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1^*(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_2(\cdot)) \\
&= J(t,x;\Theta^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v^*(\cdot)) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle (R_{22}+D_2^TPD_2)(v_2-v_2^*), v_2-v_2^*\rangle\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$J(t,x;\Theta^*(\cdot)X^*(\cdot)+v^*(\cdot)) \geqslant J(t,x;\Theta_1^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_1^*(\cdot),\Theta_2^*(\cdot)X(\cdot)+v_2(\cdot)), \quad \forall v_2(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}_2[t,T],$$

if and only if

$$R_{22}+D_2^TPD_2 \leqslant 0, \quad \text{a.e. } s\in[t,T].$$

That is, $(\Theta^*(\cdot),v^*(\cdot))$ is a closed-loop saddle point of Problem (SG). □

Note that by letting $m_2=0$, we obtain a proof for Theorem 2.4.

We point out here that the solution of the Riccati equation (5.3) may be non-unique. We will present an example in Section 6. A solution $P(\cdot)$ of (5.3) satisfying (5.4)–(5.6) is called a *regular* solution of (5.3). The following result shows that the regular solution of (5.3) is unique.

Corollary 5.3. *Let* (SG1)–(SG2) *hold. Then the Riccati equation (5.3) admits at most one solution* $P(\cdot) \in C([t,T];\mathbb{S}^n)$ *such that (5.4)–(5.6) hold.*

Proof. Consider Problem $(\mathrm{SG})^0$. Then the adapted solution $(\eta(\cdot),\zeta(\cdot))$ of (5.7) is $(\eta(\cdot),\zeta(\cdot)) \equiv (0,0)$. Suppose that $P(\cdot)$ and $\bar{P}(\cdot)$ are two solutions of Riccati equation (5.3) satisfying (5.4)–(5.6). By Theorem 5.2, we have

$$\langle\, P(t)x, x\,\rangle = 2V(t,x) = \langle\, \bar{P}(t)x, x\,\rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which implies $P(t) = \bar{P}(t)$. By considering Problem $(\mathrm{SG})^0$ on $[s,T], t < s < T$, we obtain

$$P(s) = \bar{P}(s), \qquad \forall s \in [t,T].$$

This proves our claim. □

6 Some Examples

We give some examples in this section. Example 6.1 shows that the solvability of the Riccati differential equation is not sufficient enough for the existence of a closed-loop saddle point. So the L^2-regularity is necessary; From Example 6.2 we can see that the solution of the Riccati equation may be non-unique; To illustrate that the existence of a closed-loop saddle point does not imply the existence of an open-loop saddle point, we present Example 6.3.

Example 6.1. Consider the following optimal control (one-player game) problem:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = u(s)ds + u(s)dW(s), \quad s \in [0,1], \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

with the cost functional

$$J(x;u(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}X^2(1) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_0^1 \big(\frac{1}{2}s^3 - s^2\big)u^2(s)ds.$$

In this example,

$$\begin{cases} A = 0, \quad B = 1, \quad b = 0, \quad C = 0, \quad D = 1, \quad \sigma = 0, \\ G = 1, \quad g = 0, \quad Q = 0, \quad S = 0, \quad R(s) = \dfrac{1}{2}s^3 - s^2, \quad q = 0, \quad \rho = 0. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding Riccati equation reads

$$\begin{cases} \dot{P}(s) = \dfrac{2P^2(s)}{s^3 - 2s^2 + 2P(s)}, \quad \text{a.e. } s \in [0,1], \\ P(1) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

It is easy to see that $P(s) = s^2$ is the unique solution of (6.1), and

$$\begin{aligned} &B(s)^T P(s) + D(s)^T P(s)C(s) + S(s) = s^2, \\ &R(s) + D(s)^T P(s)D(s) = \frac{1}{2}s^3 \geqslant 0, \end{aligned} \qquad s \in [0,1].$$

Thus, (5.4) holds. Now, if the problem has a closed-loop optimal control, then we should have

$$\Theta^*(s) = -\big[R(s) + P(s)\big]^{-1} P(s) = -\frac{2}{s}, \qquad s \in (0, 1],$$

which is not in $L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R})$. This means that the problem does not have a closed-loop optimal control.

Example 6.2. Consider the following one-dimensional controlled system

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = \big[A(s)X(s) + B(s)u(s)\big]ds + u(s)dW(s), \quad s \in [0, 1], \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

with cost functional

$$J(x; u(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big[- X(1)^2 + \int_0^1 \Big(Q(s)X(s)^2 + R(s)u(s)^2\Big)ds\Big],$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\Big[\frac{(R-1)^2}{R^2} - 1\Big], \quad B = \frac{R-1}{R}, \quad Q = -\frac{1}{R}, \quad R(s) = \Big(s - \frac{3}{2}\Big)^2 + \frac{3}{4} > 0.$$

The corresponding Riccati equation reads:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{P} + 2AP + Q - \dfrac{B^2P^2}{R+P} = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } s \in [0, 1], \\ P(1) = -1. \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Note that

$$B^2 - 2A = 1, \qquad Q + 2AR = -2, \qquad QR = -1.$$

Then,

$$\frac{B^2P^2}{R+P} - 2AP - Q = \frac{(B^2 - 2A)P^2 - (Q + 2AR)P - QR}{R+P} = \frac{P^2 + 2P + 1}{R+P},$$

and (6.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{P}(s) = \dfrac{P(s)^2 + 2P(s) + 1}{R(s) + P(s)}, \quad \text{a.e. } s \in [0, 1], \\ P(1) = -1, \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

which has two solutions:

$$P_1(s) = -1, \qquad s \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$P_2(s) = s - 2, \qquad s \in [0, 1].$$

We have

$$R(s) + P_1(s) = s^2 - 3s + 2 = (s-1)(s-2) \geqslant 0, \qquad s \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$R(s) + P_2(s) = s^2 - 2s + 1 = (s-1)^2 \geqslant 0, \qquad s \in [0, 1].$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}2J(x;u(\cdot)) &= \mathbb{E}\Big[-X(1)^2+\int_0^1\Big(Q(s)X(s)^2+R(s)u(s)^2\Big)ds\Big]\\ &= P(0)x^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^1\Big[\Big(\dot P(s)+2A(s)P(s)+Q(s)\Big)X(s)^2\\ &\qquad\qquad +2P(s)B(s)X(s)u(s)+[R(s)+P(s)]u(s)^2\Big]ds\\ &= P(0)x^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^1[R(s)+P(s)]\Big|u(s)+\frac{B(s)P(s)}{R(s)+P(s)}X(s)\Big|^2ds\\ &= P(0)x^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^1[R(s)+P(s)]\Big|u(s)+\frac{[R(s)-1]P(s)}{R(s)[R(s)+P(s)]}X(s)\Big|^2ds.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{(R-1)P_1}{R(R+P_1)}=\frac{(R-1)(-1)}{R(R-1)}=-\frac{1}{R},$$

and

$$\frac{(R-1)P_2}{R(R+P_2)}=\frac{(s^2-3s+2)(s-2)}{(s^2-3s+3)(s^2-2s+1)}=\frac{(s-2)^2}{(s^2-3s+3)(s-1)}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}2J(x;u(\cdot)) &= -x^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^1(s-1)(s-2)\Big|u(s)-\frac{X(s)}{(s-1)(s-2)+1}\Big|^2ds\\ &\geqslant -x^2=2J(x;u^*(\cdot)),\end{aligned}$$

with

$$u^*(s)=\frac{X(s)}{(s-1)(s-2)+1}\equiv\frac{X(s)}{R(s)}=-\frac{B(s)P_1(s)}{R(s)+P_1(s)}X(s),\quad s\in[0,1],$$

which is an optimal control. The closed-loop system reads

$$\begin{cases}dX=\Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{(R-1)^2}{R^2}-1\Big)+\Big(\frac{R-1}{R^2}\Big)\Big]Xds+\frac{1}{R}XdW(s),\quad s\in[0,1],\\ X(0)=x,\end{cases}$$

which is well-posed. Thus, optimal control exists, but Riccati equation (6.3) has more than one solution.

On the other hand, by taking $P(s)=P_2(s)=s-2$, we have

$$J(x;u(\cdot))=-x^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_0^1(s-1)^2\Big|u(s)+\frac{(s-2)^2}{(s^2-3s+3)(s-1)}X(s)\Big|^2ds.$$

If

$$\bar u(s)=-\frac{(s-2)^2}{(s^2-3s+3)(s-1)}X(s),$$

is an optimal control, the closed-loop system reads

$$\begin{cases}dX=\Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{(R-1)^2}{R^2}-1\Big)-\Big(\frac{R-1}{R}\Big)\frac{(s-2)^2}{(s^2-3s+3)(s-1)}\Big]Xds\\ \qquad\qquad -\Big[\frac{(s-2)^2}{(s^2-3s+3)(s-1)}\Big]XdW(s),\quad s\in[0,1],\\ X(0)=x,\end{cases}$$

which is *not* well-posed, since

$$\bar\Theta(s)\equiv-\frac{(s-2)^2}{(s^2-3s+3)(s-1)}\notin L^2(0,1;\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, $\bar{u}(\cdot)$ is not an optimal control.

Example 6.3. Consider the following state equation

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = \big[u_1(s) - u_2(s)\big]ds + \big[u_1(s) - u_2(s)\big]dW(s), \quad s \in [0,1], \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

and performance functional

$$J(x; u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big[|X(1)|^2 + \int_0^1 \big(|u_1(s)|^2 - |u_2(s)|^2\big)ds\Big].$$

The corresponding Riccati equation reads

$$\begin{cases} \dot{P} = P(1,-1)\begin{pmatrix} 1+P & -P \\ -P & -1+P \end{pmatrix}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}P = 0, \quad 0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1, \\ P(1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $P(s) \equiv 1$. By Theorem 5.2, $(-1,-1)$ is the unique closed-loop saddle point.

On the other hand, for any $u_1(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,1;\mathbb{R})$, taking $u_2(\cdot) = u_1(\cdot) - \lambda,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the corresponding solution of (6.4) is

$$X(s) = x + \lambda s + \lambda W(s),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}|X(1)|^2 = x^2 + 2\lambda^2 + 2\lambda x,$$

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^1 \big(|u_1(s)|^2 - |u_2(s)|^2\big)ds = -\lambda^2 + 2\lambda\mathbb{E}\int_0^1 u_1(s)ds.$$

Letting $\lambda \to \infty$, we see that

$$\sup_{u_2(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,1;\mathbb{R})} J(x; u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \infty,\ \forall\ u_1(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,1;\mathbb{R}),$$

and hence

$$V^+(0,x) = \inf_{u_1(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,1;\mathbb{R})} \sup_{u_2(\cdot)\in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,1;\mathbb{R})} J(x; u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \infty.$$

So the open-loop saddle point does not exist.

7 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we present a characterization of the existence of closed-loop saddle point of linear-quadratic two-person zero-sum stochastic differential game in terms of the existence of a solution to differential Riccati equation, with certain regularity. There are at least two major problems left untouched: (i) The solvability of the Riccati equation. We mention here that some relevant results can be found in [1] and [16]. But more complete results are desirable. (ii) The random coefficients case. This will lead to more involved issues, for example, the corresponding Riccati equation should be a BSDE, as indicated in [6, 7] for LQ stochastic optimal control problems with random coefficients. We hope to report some results relevant to the above-mentioned problems in our future publications.

References

[1] M. Ait Rami, J. B. Moore, and X. Y. Zhou, *Indefinite stochastic linear quadratic control and generalized differential Riccati equation, SIAM J. Control Optim.,* 40 (2001), 1296–1311.

[2] T. Basar and P. Bernhard, *H^∞-Optimal Control and Related Minimax Design Problems: A Dynamic Game Approach,* Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1991 (2nd ed., 1995).

[3] R. Bellman, I. Glicksberg, and O. Gross, *Some Aspects of the mathematical Theory of Control Processes,* Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California, 1958.

[4] L. D. Berkovitz, *Lectures on differential games, Differential Games and Related Topics,* H. W. Kuhn and G. P. Szego, eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, Holland, 1971, 3–45.

[5] P. Bernhard, *Linear-quadratic, two-person, zero-sum differential games: Necessary and sufficient conditions, J. Optim. Theory Appl.,* 27 (1979), 51–69.

[6] S. Chen and J. Yong, *Stochastic linear quadratic optimal control problems with random coefficients, Chin. Ann. Math.,* 21 B (2000), 323–338.

[7] S. Chen and J. Yong, *Stochastic linear quadratic optimal control problems, Appl. Math. Optim.,* 43 (2001), 21–45.

[8] M. C. Delfour, *Linear quadratic differential games: saddle point and Riccati differential equations, SIAM J. Control Optim.,* 46 (2007), 750–774.

[9] M. C. Delfour and O. D. Sbarba, *Linear quadratic differential games: closed loop saddle points, SIAM J. Control Optim.,* 47 (2009), 3138–3166.

[10] Y. C. Ho, A. E. Bryson, and S. Baron, *Differential games and optimal pursuit-evasion strategies, IEEE Trans. AC,* 10 (1965), 385–389.

[11] Y. Hu and X. Y. Zhou, *Indefinite stochastic Riccati equations, SIAM J. Control Optim.,* 42 (2003), 123–137.

[12] R. E. Kalman, *Contributions to the theory of optimal control, Bol. Soc., Mat. Mexicana,* 5 (1960), 102–119.

[13] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, 2nd Edition,* Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[14] A. M. Letov, *Analytic design of regulators, Avtomat. i Telemekh.,* (1960), 436–446, 561–571, 661–669 (in Russian); Englisj transl. in *Automat. Remote Control,* 21 (1960)

[15] J. Ma and J. Yong, *Forward-Backward Stochastic Diffrential Equations and Their Applications, Lecture Notes in Math.,* Vol.1702, Springer-Verlag, 1999.

[16] M. McAsey and L. Mou, *Generalized Riccati equations arising in stochastic games, Linear Algebra and Its Applications,* 416 (2006), 710–723.

[17] L. Mou, J. Yong, *Two-person zero-sum linear quadratic stochastic differential games by a Hilbert space method, J. Industrial & Management Optim.,* 2 (2006) 95–117.

[18] R. Penrose, *A generalized inverse of matrices, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 52 (1955), 17–19.

[19] Z. Qian and X. Y. Zhou, *Existence of solutions to a class of indefinite stochastic Riccati equations, SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 51 (2013), 221–229.

[20] W. E. Schmitendorf, *Existence of optimal open-loop strategies for a class of differential games, J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 5 (1970), 363–375.

[21] S. Tang, *General linear quadratic optimal stochastic control problems with random coefficients: linear stochastic Hamilton systems and backward stochastic Riccati equations, SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 42 (2003), 53–75.

[22] J. Yong and X. Y. Zhou, *Stochastic Control: Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[23] P. Zhang, *Some results on two-person zero-sum linear quadratic differential games, SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 43 (2004), 2157–2165.